

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Armed Forces
Washington, D.C.**

UNITED STATES,)	USCA Dkt. No. 14-6008/AF
)	Crim.App. Dkt. No. 2013-27
Appellant)	
)	
v.)	<u>O R D E R</u>
)	
Steven E.)	
SETON,)	
Appellee)	

On consideration of the issue certified by the Judge Advocate General of the Air Force, 73 M.J. __ (C.A.A.F. Daily Journal Apr. 2, 2014), and Appellee's motion to supplement the record, we conclude that the military judge did not abuse his discretion in finding a Rule for Courts-Martial 703 violation and dismissing the Charge and Specification with prejudice. Accordingly, it is, by the Court, this 12th day of May, 2014,

ORDERED:

That the motion to supplement the record is granted;
The certified issue is answered in the negative; and,
The decision of the United States Air Force Court of Criminal Appeals is affirmed.*

For the Court,

/s/ William A. DeCicco
Clerk of the Court

cc: The Judge Advocate General of the Air Force
Appellate Defense Counsel (NEIL)
Appellate Government Counsel (KEENEN)

* Chief Judge Baker and Judge Ohlson would have held oral argument before deciding this case.